

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/621,446	07/18/2003	Un-gyo Jung	Q75479	1015	
23373 7590 04/03/2007 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			EXAMINER		
			LOVEL, KIMBERLY M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2167		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	04/03/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/621,446	JUNG, UN-GYO				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kimberly Lovel	2167				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet	t with the correspondence ac	dress			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by a reply received by the Office later than three months after the led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMU FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may in. ieriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) N statute, cause the application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of this ce e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	,			
Status	•	•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	19 January 2007					
2a)⊠		This action is non-final.	•				
3)□							
٥/١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
		dor Ex parto quayro, 1000 t		·			
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.	•				
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.	·					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	4					
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			FR 1.121(d).			
11)[	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
a)	·_ ·_	ments have been received					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmer	nt(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  6) Other:							
. ape							

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This communication is responsive to the Amendment filed 19 January 2007.

- 2. Claims 1-17 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 4, 10 and 12 are independent. In the Amendment filed 19 January 2007, claims 1, 4-7, 9, 10 and 12 have been amended. This action is made Final.
- 3. The rejections of claims 4, 6, 10, 11 and 12 as being anticipated by US PGPub 2002/0059475 to Baentsch et al; claim 7 as being anticipated by US Patent No 6,289,506 to Kwong et al; claims 1-3, 14, 15 and 17 as being unpatentable over US PGPub 2003/0005425 to Zee in view of US PGPub 2002/0059475 to Baentsch et al; claims 5 and 13 as being unpatentable over US PGPub 2002/0059475 to Baentsch et al in view of US Patent No 6,289,506 to Kwong et al; and Claims 8, 9 and 16 as being unpatentable over US Patent No 6,289,506 to Kwong et al in view of US PGPub 2002/0059475 to Baentsch et al have been maintained.

### Claim Objections

4. The objections to **claims 5, 6, 9 and 10** are withdrawn as necessitated by the applicant's amendment.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2167

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

6. The rejections of **claims 1, 2, 3, 4-6, 14, 15, 17** under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter have been withdrawn as necessitated by amendment.

The rejections of **claims 7-13 and 16** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim 7 is directed towards a method of improving the performance of a Java platform by executing a Java application on the Java platform in a device, the Java application causing the device to perform a desired function.

This claimed subject matter lacks a practical application of a judicial exception (law of nature, abstract idea, naturally occurring article/phenomenon) since it fails to produce a useful, concrete and tangible result.

Specifically, the claimed subject matter does not produce a tangible result because the claimed subject matter fails to produce a result that is limited to having real world value rather than a result that may interpreted to be abstract in nature as, for example, a thought, a computation, or manipulated data. More specifically, the claimed subject matter provides for precompiling a class file, the extended class file executing the machine instruction and executing a Java application. The act of execution itself does not always produce a result since there can be an error during the execution.

Art Unit: 2167

Therefore, this produced result remains in the abstract and, thus, fails to achieve the required status of having real world value.

Since **claims 8-9 and 16** are dependent on the method of claim 7, they are rejected on the same grounds as claim 7.

Claim 10 recites a method of improving the performance of a Java platform by precompiling a Java file which is executed on the Java platform in a device, a Java application causing the device to perform a desired function.

This claimed subject matter lacks a practical application of a judicial exception (law of nature, abstract idea, naturally occurring article/phenomenon) since it fails to produce a useful, concrete and tangible result.

Specifically, the claimed subject matter does not produce a tangible result because the claimed subject matter fails to produce a result that is limited to having real world value rather than a result that may interpreted to be abstract in nature as, for example, a thought, a computation, or manipulated data. More specifically, the claimed subject matter provides for converting a file into machine instruction. The act of execution itself does not always produce a result since there can be an error during the execution. Therefore, this produced result remains in the abstract and, thus, fails to achieve the required status of having real world value.

Since **claim 11** is dependent on the method of claim 10, it is rejected on the same grounds as claim 10.

Claim 12 recites an execution method of improving the performance of a Java platform in a Java Virtual Machine (JVM) in which a Java application is executed on a

Art Unit: 2167

Java platform in a device, the Java application causing the device to perform a desired function.

This claimed subject matter lacks a practical application of a judicial exception (law of nature, abstract idea, naturally occurring article/phenomenon) since it fails to produce a useful, concrete and tangible result.

Specifically, the claimed subject matter does not produce a tangible result because the claimed subject matter fails to produce a result that is limited to having real world value rather than a result that may interpreted to be abstract in nature as, for example, a thought, a computation, or manipulated data. More specifically, the claimed subject matter provides for executing the machine instruction. The act of execution itself does not always produce a result since there can be an error during the execution. Also, since the execution only takes place if the method information of the method to be executed includes the attribute of the code formed of the machine instruction, it is unclear what the result would be if the method failed to include attributes. Therefore, this produced result remains in the abstract and, thus, fails to achieve the required status of having real world value.

Since **claim 13** is dependent on the method of claim 12, it is rejected on the same grounds as claim 12.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/621,446 Page 6

Art Unit: 2167

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 8. Claims 4, 6, 10, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US PGPub 2002/0059475 to Baentsch et al (hereafter Baentsch et al).

Referring to claim 4, Baentsch et al disclose a Java class file which is executed on a Java platform, for use with a Java Virtual Machine (JVM) in which a Java application is executed on a Java platform in a device (see [0005]), comprising:

- a constant [constant pools] (see [0008], lines 7-11),
- a field [references] (see [0008], lines 6-7), and
- a method (see [0008]-[0009]),

wherein a symbolic reference information indicates a specific class, field or method of an object, and method information of the method comprises an attribute of a code formed of the machine instruction having an operand in which the symbolic reference information [symbolic linking information] is inserted in place of an address (see [0008]-[0009]).

Referring to claim 6, Baentsch et al the Java class file of claim 4, wherein the symbolic reference information comprises at least one of information on a constant pool

Art Unit: 2167

symbol [see [0008], lines 7-11], information on a Java Virtual Machine (JVM)-internal symbol and information on a location of a data block.

Referring to claim 10, Baentsch et al disclose a method of improving the performance of a Java platform by precompiling a Java file which is executed on a Java platform in a device, the Java application causing the device to perform a desired function (see [0005]), the method comprising:

converting a Java class file or a Java source file into a machine instruction (see [0007]) including an operand in which symbolic reference information [linking information] is inserted (see [0008]).

Referring to claim 11, Baentsch et al disclose the method of claim 10, wherein the Java class file comprises a standard class file [Java standard class files] included in a standard Java class library (see [0007], lines 6-10).

Referring to claim 12, Baentsch et al disclose an execution method of improving the performance of a Java platform in a Java Virtual Machine (JVM) (see abstract) in which a Java application is executed on the Java platform in a device, the Java application causing the device to perform a desired function, the execution method comprising:

determining whether method information of a method to be executed includes an attribute of a code formed of a machine instruction having a operand in which symbolic reference information [linking information] is inserted in place of an address (see [0008]-[0009]); and

Application/Control Number: 10/621,446 Page 8

Art Unit: 2167

if the method information of the method to be executed includes the attribute of the code formed of the machine instruction, linking the symbolic reference information with an address and executing the machine instruction (see [0008]-[0009]).

9. Claims 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No 6,289,506 to Kwong et al (hereafter Kwong et al).

Referring to claim 7, Kwong et al disclose a method of improving the performance of a Java platform by executing a Java application on the Java platform in a device, the Java application causing the device to perform a desired function, (see abstract), the method comprises:

- (a) precompiling a class file included in a standard class library into an extended class library file including a machine instruction (see column 5, lines 8-67);
- (b) the extended class library file executing the machine instruction (see column 5, lines 38-67 and column 3, lines 44-47); and
- (c) executing a Java application file by using at least one of a Just-In-Time (JIT) compiling method and an interpreting method (see column 3, lines 37-64).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2167

11. Claims 1-3, 14, 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US PGPub 2003/0005425 to Zee (hereafter Zee) in view of US PGPub 2002/0059475 to Baentsch et al.

Referring to claim 1, Zee discloses a Java execution device which is executed on a Java platform (see abstract) comprising:

an extended class library which includes a class file of a machine code obtained by precompiling a class file [Java class file] included in a standard class library (see [0060], line 6 – [0064] – an AOT compiler is utilized to compile the Java class file, which is then stored in a database until the compiled file is requested by processing system 30 or 32); and

a Java Virtual Machine (JVM) [data processing systems 30 and 32] which executes the class file of the machine code class file or an application file included in the extended class library (see [0037] and [0041]).

However, Zee fails to explicitly disclose wherein data processing systems 30 and 32 contain a Java Virtual Machine. Baentsch et al disclose a Java run-time system with modified linking identifiers (see abstract), including the use of a Java Virtual Machine foe executing class files (see [0005]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the Java Virtual Machine disclosed by Baentsch et al as a software-only platform running on top of the hardware-based platform of processing systems 30 and 32 disclosed by Zee. One would have been motivated to do so since

Art Unit: 2167

the processing systems of Zee have the ability to execute a Java class file made of a Java virtual machine language of bytecodes that has been compiled (Zee: see [0037]).

Referring to claim 2, the combination of Zee and Baentsch et al (hereafter Zee/Baentsch) discloses the Java execution device of claim 1, wherein a machine instruction of the machine code includes an operand in which symbolic reference information [references which name target items to which they refer] is inserted (Baentsch et al: see [0008]-[0009]).

Referring to claim 3, Zee/Baentsch discloses the Java execution device of claim 2, wherein the Java Virtual Machine (JVM) includes a class linker which converts the symbolic reference information inserted in the operand of the machine instruction into an address (Baentsch et al: see [0008], lines 11-15).

Referring to claim 14, Zee/Baentsch discloses the Java execution device of claim 1, wherein an Ahead-of-Time (AOT) compilation is performed [performed by AOT compiler] on the class file prior to execution of the class file by the Java Virtual Machine (JVM) [processing systems 30 and 32] (Zee: see [0062]-[0064] – the AOT compiler compiles the Java class file and stores the file in the database until the file is requested by processing systems 30 and 32).

Referring to claim 15, Zee/Baentsch discloses the Java execution device of claim 1, wherein a machine instruction of the machine code includes an operand in which symbolic reference information [linking information] is inserted in place of an address (Baentsch et al: see [0008], lines 1-15).

Art Unit: 2167

Referring to claim 17, Zee/Baentsch discloses the Java execution device of claim 14, wherein the symbolic reference information is inserted during performance of the Ahead-of-Time (AOT) compilation (Baentsch et al: see [0008]-[0009]).

12. Claims 5 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US PGPub 2002/0059475 to Baentsch et al as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of US Patent No 6,289,506 to Kwong et al.

Referring to claim 5, Baentsch et al disclose the Java class file, however, Baentsch et al fail to explicitly disclose the further limitation wherein the method information further comprises at least one of exception handling information and information used for garbage collection. Kwong et al disclose a method for optimizing Java performance using precompiled code (see abstract), including the further limitation wherein the method information further comprises at least one of exception handling information [catch and throw exceptions] and information used for garbage collection (see column 5, line 34 – column 5, line 6) in order to increase the efficiency of execution.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize exception handling information of Kwong et al with the Java class file of Baentsch et al. One would have been motivated to do so in order to increase the efficiency of execution by quickly handling exceptions.

Referring to claim 13, Baentsch et al disclose an execution method in a JVM, however, Baentsch et al fail to explicitly disclose the further limitation wherein, if the

Page 12

method information of the method to be executed does not include the attribute of the code formed of the machine instruction, the execution method further comprises one of Just-In-Time (JIT) compiling and interpreting the method. Kwong et al disclose a method for optimizing Java performance using precompiled code (see abstract), including the further limitation wherein, if the method information of the method to be executed does not include the attribute of the code formed of the machine instruction, the execution method further comprises one of Just-In-Time (JIT) compiling and interpreting the method (see column 6, lines 47-58) in order to increase the efficiency of execution.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize exception handling information of Kwong et al with the Java class file of Baentsch et al. One would have been motivated to do so in order to increase the efficiency of execution by quickly handling exceptions.

13. Claims 8, 9 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No 6,289,506 to Kwong et al as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of US PGPub 2002/0059475 to Baentsch et al.

Referring to claim 8, Kwong et al disclose pre-compiling a class file, however, Kwong et al fail to explicitly disclose the further limitation wherein step (a) further comprises inserting symbolic reference information. Baentsch et al disclose a java runtime system with modified linking identifiers, including the further limitation of inserting symbolic reference information [references which name target items to which they refer]

Art Unit: 2167

into an operand of the machine instruction (see [0008], lines 11-15) in order to increase the efficiency of executing the machine code.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use reference linking information disclosed by Baentsch et al as a added feature to the pre-compiling step disclosed by Kwong et al. One would have been motivated to do so in order to increase the efficiency of executing the machine code.

Referring to claim 9, the combination of Kwong et al and Baentsch et al (hereafter Kwong/Baentsch) discloses the method of claim 8, wherein step (b) further comprises converting the symbolic reference information inserted in the operand of the machine instruction into an address (Baentsch et al: see [0008], lines 11-15).

Referring to claim 16, Kwong et al disclose pre-compiling a class file, however, Kwong et al fail to explicitly disclose the further limitation wherein precompiling the class file comprises inserting symbolic reference information in place of an address into an operand of the machine instruction. Baentsch et al disclose a java run-time system with modified linking identifiers, including the further limitation wherein precompiling the class file comprises inserting symbolic reference information in place of an address into an operand of the machine instruction (see [0008]-[0009]) in order to increase the efficiency of executing the machine code.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use reference linking information disclosed by Baentsch et al as a added feature to the pre-compiling step disclosed by Kwong et al. One would have

Art Unit: 2167

been motivated to do so in order to increase the efficiency of executing the machine code.

#### Response to Arguments

- 14. In regards to applicant's arguments on page 8 concerning the 35 U.S.C 101 rejection of the claims, the amendment overcomes the rejection of claims 1, 2, 3, 4-6, 14, 15, 17 and fails to overcome the rejection of claims 7-13 and 16.
- 15. Applicant's arguments filed in regards to the prior art rejection have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 16. In regards to applicants arguments on pages 9-10 concerning the prior art rejection of claims 4, 10 and 12, applicant states: There is no teaching or suggestion in Baentsch of a machine instruction having an operand in which the symbolic reference information is inserted in place of an address as required by claim 4.

The examiner respectfully disagrees. To further clarify, in Baentsch et al discloses "the code section 20 references all symbols (classes, methods, etc) those actual addresses are not known before linking time via an offset into the second section 30 of the cap file, so-called constant pool" in [0023]. Therefore, since the actual address is not known, a reference is inserted in the place of an address. During the linking process is when the referenced items are then replaced by the run-time specific identifiers. Also, see [0028], lines 11-24.

17. In regards to applicants arguments on pages 10-11 concerning the prior art rejection of claim 7, applicant states: There is no teaching or suggestion in Kwong of

Art Unit: 2167

precompiling a class file included in a standard class library into an extended class library file including a machine instruction as required by claim 7.

The examiner respectfully disagrees. To further clarify, Kwong discloses Java Base Classes 206 and Java Standard Extension Classes 210. The base classes are considered to represent the standard library and the extension classes are considered to represent the extended library. The client system provides the process for compiling the class files (see column 6, lines 29-31).

18. In regards to applicants arguments on pages 11-12 concerning the prior art rejection of claim 1, applicant states: There is no teaching or suggestion in Zee of an extended class library which includes a class file of a machine code obtained by precompiling a class file included in a standard class library as recited in claim 1.

The examiner respectfully disagrees. Zee discloses downloading a Java class file (see [0060], line 6). The designated class file is considered to represent a file from the standard library. Zee then discloses that "The compile-on-demand server 20 or 22 selects an AOT compiler which can produce a native component for the data processing system ...") This is considered to represent the step of precompiling. Next the native component produced by the compiler is stored in the database. The combination of the file and the native component is considered to represent the extended class library.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,446 Page 16

Art Unit: 2167

#### Conclusion

19. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2167

#### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly Lovel whose telephone number is (571) 272-2750. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cottingham can be reached on (571) 272-7079. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kimberly Lovel Examiner Art Unit 2167

27 March 2007 kml

JOHN COTTINGHAM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Wh